



## Discussion of “Scour hole characteristics of two unequal sized bridge piers in tandem arrangement” by Mubeen Beg and Salman Beg (2015)

S.K. Mazumder

To cite this article: S.K. Mazumder (2016) Discussion of “Scour hole characteristics of two unequal sized bridge piers in tandem arrangement” by Mubeen Beg and Salman Beg (2015), ISH Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 22:1, 1-2, DOI: [10.1080/09715010.2015.1029546](https://doi.org/10.1080/09715010.2015.1029546)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09715010.2015.1029546>



Published online: 05 May 2015.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 84



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

## Discussion of “Scour hole characteristics of two unequal sized bridge piers in tandem arrangement” by Mubeen Beg and Salman Beg (2015)

S.K. Mazumder\*

Civil Engineering, Delhi College of Engineering, New Delhi, India

(Received 5 December 2014; accepted 11 March 2015)

This paper is about the discussion of “Scour hole characteristics of two unequal sized bridge piers in tandem arrangement”; Mubeen Beg & Salman Beg (ISH Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 19th Jan 2015, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 85–96).

Estimation of scour in bridge piers is required for proper foundation design. Any underestimation will result in failure of the bridge, whereas overestimation will lead to prohibitive cost. IRC method (IRC:SP:13-2004; IRC:5-1998; IRC:78-2000) of computing pier scour in India is based on Lacey’s theory (1930). Limitations of IRC method of scour computations have been outlined by Mazumder and Kumar (2006) and Mazumder and Dhiman (2014), who had worked out scour depths by different mathematical models to show that IRC method overestimates scour both in both fine and coarse soils. Recently, a subgroup on scour appointed by IRC (discussor is one of the members) has recommended that for fine alluvial soil of  $d_{50} < 2$  mm and  $\sigma_g < 1.3$ , IRC method may be used; but for  $d_{50} > 2$  mm and  $\sigma_g > 1.3$ , local scour should be computed by improved mathematical models by Richardson and Davis (1995), Breussers and Raudkivi (1991), Melville and Coleman (2000), Kothyari et al. (1992).

This paper is definitely an excellent addition to the ongoing research on bridge pier scour. There is very little information on the mutual interference on pier scour when piers are placed in tandem as in the present study. In India, a lot of roads are being widened from two to multiple lanes which requires construction of new bridge by the side of old ones, needing new piers by the side of old ones. Obviously, this paper will help in decision-making with regard to scour around the piers – both the old and the new. Discussor would, however, like to get certain clarifications from the authors as pointed out in the following paragraph.

- (1) In Table 3, authors have not mentioned maximum observed scour depths for the 66 mm pier.
- (2)  $d_s/d_{s_i}$ -values given in Figure 2 for 66 mm pier are found to vary from 1.67 (at  $X = 0$ ) to a maximum of 1.86 (at  $X = 10$ ) times the scour depths of 33 mm pier. The scour values  $d_s$  for 66 mm pier should have been compared with  $d_{s_i}$ -values for 66 mm pier in order to find interference effect. Since maximum scour depth is proportional to pier dia,  $d_s/d_{s_i}$  scour for the 66 mm pier compared to 33 mm pier should have been 2 instead of 1.86. Perhaps the measured scour depth was not under equilibrium stage.
- (3) In Figures 3(a), 3(b) and 3(c), maximum scour depths are not indicated either for 33 or 66 mm pier.
- (4) At  $X/b = 90$ , when there is no mutual interference, the maximum scour depths observed are 12.45 and 6.95 cm for 66 and 33 mm piers, respectively. These correspond to values of  $d_s/B = 1.88$  and  $d_s/b = 2.10$ , respectively. However, the maximum local scour depth in uniform sand ( $\sigma_g < 1.3$ ) at threshold condition as found by most of the research workers is about 2.4 times the pier width. Thus, it appears that the scour depths measured by the authors are under transient state.
- (5) The time required to attain equilibrium condition ( $t_e$  in days) of scour in the present case is given by the following equation (Melville and Coleman 2000):

$$t_e = 30.89 D/V[V/V_c - 0.4]x[Y/D]^{0.25}$$

where,  $t_e$  is number of days,  $D$  is the dia of circular pier,  $V$  is mean velocity of flow,  $V_c$  is critical velocity and  $Y$  is flow depth. For the larger pier with  $D = 66$  mm,  $V = 0.391$  m/s,  $V_c = 0.4127$  m/s and  $Y = 140$  mm,  $t_e$ -value is found to be 3.423 days i.e.82 h, whereas the duration for the run was 10 h only.

- (6) Since the river bed at design flood is mostly under live bed conditions, authors may extend further study on mutual interference under live bed conditions.

\*Email: [somendrak64@gmail.com](mailto:somendrak64@gmail.com)

**References**

- Breussers, H.N.C., and Raudkivi, A.J. (1991). "Scour at bridge piers." *Scouring: IAHR hydraulic structures design manual*, A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, Chapter-5.
- IRC:SP:13. (2004). *Guidelines for the design of small bridges and culverts*, Indian Roads Congress, New Delhi.
- IRC:5. (1998). *Standard specifications and code of practice for road bridges*, Indian Roads Congress, New Delhi, Section I.
- IRC:78. (2000). *Standard specifications and code of practice for road bridges*, Indian Roads Congress, New Delhi, Section VII.
- Kothyari, U.C., Garde, R.J., and Ranga Raju, K.G. (1992). "Temporal variation of scour around circular bridge piers." *J. Hydraul. Eng.*, 118(8), 1091–1106.
- Lacey, G. (1930). "Stable channels in alluvium." *Proc. Inst Civil Eng.*, William Clowes & Sons, London, Volume 229, Paper 4736, 259–292.
- Mazumder, S.K., and Dhiman, R.K. (2014). "Local scour in bridge piers on coarse bed material-observed and predicted by different methods." *J. Indian Roads Congr.*, 74-4 (April–June), 126–135.
- Mazumder, S.K., and Kumar, Y.K. (2006). "Estimation of scour in bridge piers on alluvial non-cohesive soil by different methods." *IRC Highway Res. Bull.*, (October), Presented in the 67th IRC Congress at Panchkula, Haryana, 17–21 November 2006.
- Melville, B.W., and Coleman, S.E. (2000). *Bridge scour*, Water Resources Publications, LLC, Highland Ranch, CO, Volume I, II.
- Richardson, E.V., and Davis, S.R. (1995). "Evaluating scour at bridges." *Report No. FHWAIP-90-017, Hydraulic Engineering Circular No. 18 (HEC-18)*, Office of Technology Applications, HTA-22, Federal Highway Administration, US Department of Transportation, Washington, DC, 3rd edition.